

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/798,949	LUCAS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Suchira Pande	1637	

All Participants:

(1) Suchira Pande.

(2) Houri Khalilian.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 February 2007

Time: 3:30 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Attorney Houri Kallilian was contacted on her cell phone 301-404-2126 as she no longer works in the firm that is listed under attorney agent tab. Attorney Kallilian indicated that the client wishes to revive this application which is technically abandoned due to failure to respond to restriction requirement sent by office on July 24, 2006. Examiner will go ahead and abandon the case so the client can revive the case later.